UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Western District of Texas Austin Division

United States of America)
v.)
) Case No. 1:19-cr-00192-LY-18
Steven Klasing (18))
Defendant)

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

- X A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
 - X (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
 - (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c).

B. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above

The presumption shifts to the defendant only the burden of producing rebutting evidence, not the burden of persuasion. *United States v. Hare*, 873 F.2d 796 (5th Cir. 1989). Assuming the defendant has produced adequate rebutting evidence, the presumption remains a factor to be considered by the Court and "may be weighed in the evidentiary balance." *Id.* at 799.

Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), the pretrial services report, and the evidence and arguments of counsel presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

- X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of the community.
- X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In a	addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
	Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
	Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
\overline{X}	Prior criminal history
	Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
	History of violence or use of weapons
X	History of alcohol or substance abuse
	Lack of stable employment
	Lack of stable residence
	Lack of financially responsible sureties
	Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
X	Prior attempts to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
	Background information unknown or unverified
X	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
_	
	Other Reasons or Further Explanation:
Му	decision is based on the identified factors as well as the nature of the offense. The most compelling justification
for the co	ontinued detention of the defendant is his history and characteristics, specifically, his lengthy criminal history; his
previous	parole revocations; and his failure to comply with the conditions of his supervised release, including criminal
activity v	while under supervision.
	Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
represent sentences consultat the perso	e defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated tative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving s or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private tion with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, on in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an acce in connection with a court proceeding.
Date:	September 18, 2019

United States Magistrate Judge